

h

JUDGE SOPHIA ADINYIRA , PRESIDING .

1

h

h

Secretary-General of the United Nations. h

h

h

h

h

Wang v.

Facts and Procedure

2

h

h

1

... h
h

h

h

h
h

h
h

... h
h

h

... h
h

h
h

h

h

... h
h

h

h

h

h

h

h

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL



THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

1

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

h

5 h

h
h
h
h

h

h h

h h

h
h
h

h h h

6 h

h h
h h
h h
h

7 h h h

h h
h h
h
h h h

8 h h

h

9

9

à
h
h
h
h
h

h
h
h
h

b
h
h
h
h
h

h

0
h
h

h
h

h

The Secretary-General's Answer

1
h
h
h
h
h
h
h

h

h
h
h

h

2
h
h
h
h
h

h

h

h

3
h
h
h

h

h

h

h

in

in

in

in

4 in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

5 in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

6 in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

7 in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

in

The UNDT's statement is merely an obiter dictum and does not constitute a finding of a flawed written examination in the present case.

18. The Secretary-General submits that the other errors alleged by Mr. Wang are equally without merit. Mr. Wang points to the selection panel member notes to argue that the UNDT ought to have found him to be the only qualified candidate for the position. The Secretary-General submits that it was not for the UNDT to take on the substantive role with which the assessment panel was charged. Mr. Wang merely disagrees with the final outcome but does not show how his candidature was unfairly assessed.

19. The Secretary-General rebuts Mr. Wang's assertion that the fact that the vacancy announcement required five years' experience showed that it was catered to the needs of

1. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

2. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

3. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

4. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

5. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

6. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

7. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

8. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

9. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

10. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

Whether the UNDT correctly concluded that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

11. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

12. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

13. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

14. The UNDT found that the selected candidate, Ms. C. Y., fulfilled the requirements for the posts.

11

2 1111

111111

11

11

111111
111111

11

11

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL



¶

¶

¶

¶

3 ~~RELEVANCE~~

¶

Whether the UNDT correctly concluded that Mr. Wang was accorded full and fair consideration in the selection process for the post

8 ~~UN~~

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

9 ~~RELEVANT~~

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

4 ~~UN~~

¶

¶

¶

¶

8 ~~UN~~

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

9

2 ~~UN~~

¶

¶

¶

⁸ *Rolland v. Secretary-General of the United Nations* ¶

⁹ *Charles v. Secretary-General of the United Nations* ¶
Secretary-General of the United Nations ¶

Fröhler v.

1

1.1.1

1.1.2

1.1.3

1.1.4

1.1.5

1.1.6

1.1.7

1.1.8

1.1.9

1.1.10

1.1.11

1.1.12

1.1.13

1.1.14

1.1.15

1.1.16

1.1.17

1.1.18

1.1.19

1.1.20

1.1.21

1.1.22

1.1.23

1.1.24

1.1.25

1.1.26

1.1.27

1.1.28

1.1.29

1.1.30

1.1.31

1.1.32

1.1.33

Fröhler.

1.1.34

1.1.35

1.1.36

1.1.37

1.1.38

1.1.39

1.1.40

1.1.41

1.1.42

1

1.1.43

1.1.44

1.1.45

1.1.46

1.1.47

1.1.48

1.1.49

1.1.50

⁰ 1.1.51

¹ *Fröhler v. Secretary-General of the United Nations*

¶

¶

¶

2

§ 8 ¶

¶

¶

¶

obiter dictum

¶

3

Other alleged errors

¶

¶

¶

¶

¶

prima facie

¶

¶

¶

¶

Conclusion

¶

¶

¶

¶

Judgment

5

¶

¶

² ¶

³ § *Abboud v. Secretary-General of the United Nations* ¶

